

Effects of Omecamtiv Mecarbil in Patients with HFrEF and Low Blood Pressure: Results from GALACTIC-HF

Marco Metra, Matteo Pagnesi, Brian L. Claggett, Rafael Díaz, G. Michael Felker, John J. V. McMurray, Scott D. Solomon, Diana Bonderman, James C. Fang, Cândida Fonseca, Eva Goncalvesova, Jonathan G. Howlett, Jing Li, Eileen O'Meara, Zi Michael Miao, Siddique A. Abbasi, Stephen B. Heitner, Stuart Kupfer, Fady I. Malik, John R. Teerlink; *on behalf of the GALACTIC-HF Investigators*

22 May 2022



Disclosures

 Prof. Metra has received funding to his institution from Amgen and Cytokinetics as participant to the Executive Committee during the trial and for patients' enrollment; has received consulting fees for participation to advisory boards from AstraZeneca, Bayer, and Boehringer Ingelheim; has received personal fees as member of Executive or Data Monitoring Committees of sponsored clinical trials from LivaNova and Vifor Pharma; has received speaker fees from Abbott Vascular and Edwards Therapeutics for speeches at sponsored meetings; and has participated on Data Safety Monitoring boards for Actelion.



Background and Study Aim

- Low SBP identifies patients with HFrEF at increased risk of clinical events and who poorly tolerate guideline-directed medical therapy
- Yet, these patients are typically excluded from HFrEF trials due to hypotensive effects of many therapies for HFrEF
- Omecamtiv mecarbil, a selective cardiac myosin activator, directly improves cardiac function without reducing blood pressure and may be useful in these difficult to treat patients
- We evaluated the efficacy and tolerability of omecamtiv mecarbil in HFrEF patients with SBP ≤100 mmHg enrolled in GALACTIC-HF



Study Design – GALACTIC-HF



Hypothesis: Selectively improving cardiac function with the cardiac myosin activator, omecamtiv mecarbil, will improve clinical outcomes in patients with HFrEF



Multicenter, international, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, event-driven Phase 3 study



Teerlink JR, et al. JACC Heart Fail 2020;8:329–40.

Primary Endpoint

Heart Failure

Acute Heart Failure



Time to first Heart Failure event or Cardiovascular death



Teerlink JR, et al. N Engl J Med 2021;384:105-116.

GALACTIC-HF SBP Substudy Design



- 8,232/8,232 patients with available data on baseline SBP:
 - 1,473 patients (17.9%) with SBP ≤100 mmHg (*low SBP group*)
 - 6,759 patients (82.1%) with SBP >100 mmHg
- Primary endpoint: composite of CV death or first HF event
- Secondary endpoints: first HF event, first HF hospitalization, CV death, all-cause death
- Additional exploratory and safety outcomes



Baseline Characteristics by Blood Pressure



	SBP ≤100 mmHg (N=1,473)	SBP >100 mmHg (N=6,759)	p-value		SBP ≤100 mmHg (N=1,473)	SBP >100 mmHg (N=6,759)	p-value
Age (years)	63.4 ± 11.9	64.8 ± 11.2	<0.001	Vitals and laboratory	data		
Women	314 (21.3%)	1435 (21.2%)	0.94	SBP (mmHg)	94.4 ± 5.1	121.3 ± 12.3	<0.001
Inpatient setting	449 (30.5%)	1635 (24.2%)	<0.001	HR (beats/min)	72.4 ± 12.3	72.4 ± 12.1	1.00
Comorbidities and HF history			NT-proBNP (pg/ml)	2829 [1432,	1856 [924, 3770]	<0.001	
History of MI	599 (40.7%)	2836 (42.0%)	0.36	P - (PO) /	5592]	, j	
Stroke	147 (10.0%)	607 (9.0%)	0.23	eGFR	55 3 [40 7 71 6]	594 [44 9 74 4]	<0.001
AF/flutter	120 (20 70/)	1207 (26.7%)	0.010	(ml/min/1.73m ²)	55.5 [40.7, 71.0]	55.1[1.15, 7.1.1]	.01001
(screening)	430 (29.770)	1007 (20.770)	0.019	Baseline HF therapy			
Hypertension	753 (51.1%)	5031 (74.4%)	<0.001	ACEi/ARB/ARNi	1249 (84.8%)	5910 (87.4%)	0.006
Type 2 DM	533 (36.2%)	2776 (41.1%)	<0.001	ARNi	416 (28.2%)	1185 (17.5%)	<0.001
LVEF (%)	24.3 ± 6.3	27.0 ± 6.2	<0.001	BB	1357 (92.1%)	6406 (94.8%)	<0.001
NYHA class			<0.001	MRA	1192 (80.9%)	5205 (77.0%)	0.001
Class II	728 (49.4%)	3640 (53.9%)		SGLT2i	52 (3.5%)	166 (2.5%)	0.020
Class III	678 (46.0%)	2938 (43.5%)		Ivabradine	109 (7.4%)	424 (6.3%)	0.11
Class IV	67 (4.5%)	181 (2.7%)		Digoxin	287 (19.5%)	1098 (16.2%)	0.003
Ischemic HF	709 (48.1%) 3706 (54.8%)	2706 (54.80/)	<0.001	CRT	322 (21.9%)	836 (12.4%)	<0.001
etiology		5700 (54.8%)		ICD	632 (42.9%)	1982 (29.3%)	<0.001



Results – Impact of SBP on Outcomes



Primary Endpoint

First HF Event

CV Death



Adjusted HR* per 5-mmHg SBP decrease, **1.05**; 95% CI, **1.03 to 1.06**; p<0.001 Adjusted HR* per 5-mmHg SBP decrease, **1.04**; 95% CI, **1.03 to 1.06**; p<0.001

Adjusted HR* per 5-mmHg SBP decrease, **1.08**; 95% CI, **1.06 to 1.09**; p<0.001

*Adjustment for age, sex, race, region, inpatient setting, MI, CABG, PCI, stroke, AF/flutter, diabetes mellitus, LVEF, NYHA class, ischemic HF etiology, KCCQ, HR, NT-proBNP, troponin, eGFR



Results – Impact of SBP on Omecamtiv Mecarbil Treatment Effect



Primary Endpoint

First HF Event

CV Death





Results – KM Curves for the Primary Endpoint by SBP Subgroups

Heart Failure

World Congress on Acute Heart Failure





Interaction p-value for SBP ≤100 mmHg vs. SBP >100 mmHg = 0.051

SBP Values Over Time during Omecamtiv Mecarbil or Placebo Treatment



GALACTIC-HI



Effects of Omecamtiv Mecarbil on Selected Vital Signs and Laboratory Values



Placebo-Corrected Change from Baseline to Week 24

<i>Variable</i> Difference (95% CI) p-value	SBP ≤100 mmHg (N=1,473)	SBP >100 mmHg (N=6,759)	p-value	
SPD (mmHg)	+1.1(-0.5, +2.7)	-0.6 (-1.4, +0.1)	0.06	
SBP (IIIIIIng)	0.17	0.09		
HP (hom)	-2.3 (-3.5, -1.1)	-1.4 (-1.9, -0.9)	0.19	
пк (орп)	<0.001	<0.001	0.18	
Botassium (mmal/l)	-0.02 (-0.08, 0.04)	+0.01 (-0.02, +0.03)	0.26	
Potassium (mmol/1)	0.43	0.69	0.30	
Creatining (mg/dl)	-0.02 (-0.06, +0.02)	0.01 (-0.00, +0.03)	0.12	
Creatinine (mg/ui)	0.36	0.15	0.13	
NT pro DND (pg/pgl; Dotio)	0.82 (0.74, 0.90)	0.91 (0.87, 0.95)	0.06	
NT-probine (pg/mi; Ratio)	<0.001	<0.001		
Trananin L(ng/L)	+5 (+3, +7)	+4 (+3, +5)	0.80	
	<0.001	<0.001	0.89	



Effects of Omecamtiv Mecarbil on Safety Outcomes by Blood Pressure Groups



Omecamtiv Mecarbil: n (%) Placebo: n (%) RR (95% CI); p-value	SBP ≤100 mmHg (N=1,473)	SBP >100 mmHg (N=6,759)	
Any Treatment Emergent	OM: 495 (63.5%)	OM: 1878 (56.4%)	
Serious Adverse Events	P: 496 (72.0%)	P: 1939 (56.8%)	
Serious Auverse Livents	RR 0.88 (0.82, 0.95); p <0.001	RR 0.99 (0.95, 1.03); p = 0.72	
	OM: 70 (9.8%)	OM: 220 (7.5%)	
AE: Ventricular Tachyarrhythmia	P: 75 (11.5%)	P: 229 (7.6%)	
	RR 0.85 (0.63, 1.16); p = 0.32	RR 0.99 (0.83, 1.18); p = 0.88	
SAE, Vantriaular Arrhythmia	OM: 28 (3.6%)	OM: 91 (2.7%)	
SAE. Ventricular Armythimia Requiring Treatment	P: 32 (4.6%)	P: 95 (2.8%)	
	RR 0.77 (0.47, 1.27); p = 0.31	RR 0.98 (0.74, 1.30); p = 0.90	
Adjudicated First Major Cardias	OM: 28 (3.6%)	OM: 172 (5.2%)	
Adjudicated First Major Cardiac	P: 26 (3.8%)	P: 162 (4.7%)	
	RR 0.95 (0.56, 1.61); p = 0.85	RR 1.09 (0.88, 1.34); p = 0.43	
Desitively Adjudiented	OM: 18 (2.3%)	OM: 104 (3.1%)	
Positively Adjudicated	P: 17 (2.5%)	P: 101 (3.0%)	
	RR 0.94 (0.49, 1.80); p = 0.84	RR 1.06 (0.81, 1.38); p = 0.70	
	OM: 6 (0.8%)	OM: 70 (2.1%)	
Adjudicated First Stroke	P: 17 (2.5%)	P: 95 (2.8%)	
	RR 0.31 (0.12, 0.79); p = 0.009	RR 0.75 (0.56, 1.02); p = 0.07	



Conclusions

- Treatment of the patients with HFrEF and low SBP remains a major challenge
- Among patients with symptomatic, chronic HFrEF enrolled in GALACTIC-HF, patients with low baseline SBP (≤100 mmHg) experienced:
 - Increased risk of HF outcomes compared with patients with SBP >100 mmHg
 - Large absolute risk reduction (9.8%) of the primary composite endpoint of CV death or first HF event with omecamtiv mecarbil compared with placebo
 - Similar safety and tolerability profile with omecamtiv mecarbil compared with placebo



