Cytokinetics

Sarcomere Directed Therapies

EMPOWERING MUSCLE EMPOWERING LIVES



Nefertari, diagnosed with heart failure

Jillian, diagnosed with HCM

Chuck, diagnosed with ALS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Presentation contains forward-looking statements for purposes of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act"). Cytokinetics disclaims any intent or obligation to update these forward-looking statements and claims the protection of the Act's Safe Harbor for forward-looking statements. Examples of such statements include, but are not limited to, statements related Cytokinetics' research and development and commercial readiness activities, including the initiation, conduct, design, enrollment, progress, continuation, completion, timing and results of clinical trials, projections regarding growing prevalence, low survival rates and market opportunity in heart failure, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS); projections regarding the size of the addressable patient population for omecamtiv mecarbil, aficamten or reldesemtiv; Cytokinetics' commercial readiness for *omecamtiv mecarbil*; the likelihood of approval and timing for regulatory approval of *omecamtiv* mecarbil or any of our other drug candidates; the submission or acceptance of filing of a new drug application (NDA) to or by the FDA for omecamtiv mecarbil in 2021; the timing of an interim analysis of COURAGE-ALS, a phase 3 clinical trial of reldesemtiv or the timing of commencement of SEQUOIA-HCM, a phase 3 clinical trial of *aficamten*; our ability to fully enroll COURAGE-ALS or SEQUOIA-HCM; Cytokinetics' cash expenditures or runway; the timing or availability of additional sale proceeds or loan disbursements from Royalty Pharma; interactions with the FDA; the properties, potential benefits and commercial potential of *aficamten*, *omecamtiv mecarbil*, *reldesemtiv* and Cytokinetics' other drug candidates; the activities of Ji Xing under our collaboration agreements therewith or our ability to earn any additional milestone payments or royalties pursuant thereto. Such statements are based on management's current expectations; but actual results may differ materially due to various risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, potential difficulties or delays in the development, testing, regulatory approvals for trial commencement, progression or product sale or manufacturing, or production of Cytokinetics' drug candidates that could slow or prevent clinical development or product approval, including risks that current and past results of clinical trials or preclinical studies may not be indicative of future clinical trial results, patient enrollment for or conduct of clinical trials may be difficult or delayed, Cytokinetics' drug candidates may have adverse side effects or inadequate therapeutic efficacy, the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies may delay or limit Cytokinetics' ability to conduct clinical trials, and Cytokinetics may be unable to obtain or maintain patent or trade secret protection for its intellectual property; Cytokinetics may incur unanticipated research, development and other costs or be unable to obtain financing necessary to conduct development of its products; standards of care may change, rendering Cytokinetics' drug candidates obsolete; and competitive products or alternative therapies may be developed by others for the treatment of indications Cytokinetics' drug candidates and potential drug candidates may target. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Cytokinetics undertakes no obligation to subsequently update any such statement, except as required by law. For further information regarding these and other risks related to Cytokinetics' business, investors should consult Cytokinetics' filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

Cytokinetics

Sarcomere Directed Therapies

OUR MISSION

To bring forward new medicines to improve the healthspan of people with devastating cardiovascular and neuromuscular diseases of impaired muscle function.



Achieve regulatory approvals for at least two drugs arising from our pipeline

Build commercial capabilities to market and sell our medicines reflective of their innovation and value

Generate sustainable and growing revenues from product sales

• Double our development pipeline to include ten therapeutic programs

• Expand our discovery platform to muscle energetics, growth and metabolism

Be the science-driven company people want to join and partner with

Our vision is to be the

leading muscle biology

biopharma company that meaningfully improves the lives of patients with diseases of impaired muscle function through access to our

pioneering medicines

2025 Leading with Science, Delivering for Patients

VIS()

As always, we will support disease advocacy groups elevating the patient voice and live by our values of integrity, fairness and compassion in all that we do.

As always we will support disease advocacy grou

Cvtokinetics

Executing On Our Vision

- Scientific innovation driven by modulating cardiac myosin
- First-in-class myosin activator
- Next-in-class myosin inhibitor
- Expansion beyond contractility to muscle energetics, metabolism

- Customer-centric approach to portfolio management
- Overlap between HFrEF and HCM accounts
- Commercial build in HFrEF supports future HCM business
- Lifecycle management extends and expands franchise



- Positive Phase 3 results from GALACTIC-HF
- Phase 3 trial, SEQUOIA-HCM, enrolling patients with oHCM
- Cohort 4 of Phase 2 trial, REDWOOD-HCM, enrolling patients with nHCM
- Phase 3 trial, COURAGE-ALS, enrolling patients with ALS

- Regular input, collaboration and guidance
- Elevate patient voice
- Improve function, performance and healthspan

Sarcomere Directed Drug Development

The sarcomere is a molecular structure found in skeletal and cardiac muscle that enables cardiac myocytes to contract and generate force



Pipeline of Novel Muscle-Directed Drug Candidates



Cytokinetics

Sarcomere Directed Drug Development

CARDIAC MUSCLE

Omecamtiv Mecarbil Aficamten



Contractile Dysfunction Underlies Cardiac Diseases

Increased / Preserved Cardiac Contractility

- Non-obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (nHCM)
- Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (oHCM)
- Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction (certain HFpEF subsets)



Sarcomere

Decreased Cardiac Contractility

- Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction (HFrEF)
- Genetic Dilated Cardiomyopathy
- Pulmonary Hypertension with Right Ventricular Heart Failure



Omecamtiv Mecarbil



Omecamtiv mecarbil is an investigational agent and has not been approved for use by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) or any regulatory agency. The safety and effectiveness of this product has not been established.

Heart Failure Is a Public Health Epidemic ~6.5M Americans ≥20 years of age have HF; 1M new HF cases occur annually¹

High cost burden driven by hospitalizations; mean cost for each hospital stay ~\$17K²



HF: heart failure

1. Benjamin EJ, et al. *Circulation*. 2018;137:e67-e492;

2. Gaziano et al, AMA Cardiol. 2016;1(6):666-672. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2016.1747

3. Urbich, M., Globe, G., Pantiri, K. et al. A Systematic Review of Medical Costs Associated with Heart Failure in the USA (2014–2020). Pharmaco Economics 38, 1219–1236 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-020-00952-0

3. Heidenreich PA, Albert NM, Allen LA, Bluemke DA, Butler J, Fonarow GC, et al. Forecasting the impact of heart failure in the United States: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circ Heart Fail. 2013;6(3):606–19. https://doi.org/10.1161/HHF.0b013e318291329a. 4. Benjamin EJ, et al. *Circulation*. 2019;139:e56-e528;

5. Davis JD, et al. Am J Med. 2017;130:93.e9-93.e28. (a) In an investigational study of patients with an index hospitalization for HF from California, New York, and Florida from 2007–2011 (N=547,088)

6. Shah KS, et al. JAm Coll Cardiol. 2017;70:2476-2486. (b) Among HFrEF patients (n=18,398), HFbEF patients (n=3285), and HFpEF patients (n=18,299) in the GWTG-HF registry, a study of patients on Medicare and Medicaid services (N=39,982). GWTG-HF, Get With the Guidelines®-Heart Failure



Significant Unmet Need in HFrEF Proprietary market research suggests need for novel therapy





Pivotal Phase 3 Trial Design



Second largest clinical trial ever conducted in heart failure

Overview

Enrolled 8,256 patients at ~1,000 sites in 35 countries

Primary Endpoint

Composite of time to cardiovascular (CV) death or first HF event*, whichever occurs first

Secondary Endpoints

- Time to CV death
- Change in Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire Total Symptoms Score (KCCQ TSS) from baseline to Week 24
- Time to first HF hospitalization
- Time to all-cause death



*An HF event defined as the presentation of the subject for an urgent, unscheduled clinic/office/ED visit, or hospital admission, with a primary diagnosis of HF, where the patient exhibits new or worsening symptoms of HF on presentation, has objective evidence of new or worsening HF, and receives initiation or intensification of treatment specifically for HF (Hicks et al, 2015). Changes to oral diuretic therapy do not qualify as initiation or intensification of treatment.



Baseline Characteristics



Characteristic	OM (N=4120)	Placebo (N=4112)		
Demographics				
Age (years), median (Q1, Q3)	66 (58, 73)	66 (58, 73)		
Sex, female, n (%)	875 (21.2)	874 (21.3)		
White/Asian/Black/other, %	78/9/7/7	78/9/7/7		
Heart Failure History and Medical Conditions				
LVEF (%), mean (SD) 26.6 (6.3) 26.5 (6.3)				
NYHA class, II/III/IV, %	53/44/3	53/44/3		
lschemic etiology, %	53.2	54.0		
Atrial fib/flutter at screening, %	27.8	26.7		
Type 2 diabetes, %	40.1	40.3		

OM (N=4120)	Placebo (N=4112)
1977 (980, 4061)	2025 (1000, 4105)
116 (15)	117 (15)
72 (12)	72 (12)
59 (44, 74)	59 (44, 74)
0.027 (0.052)	0.027 (0.052)
87	87
20	19
94	94
78	78
2.5	2.8
14	14
32	31
	OM (N=4120) 1977 (980, 4061) 116 (15) 72 (12) 72 (12) 59 (44, 74) 0.027 (0.052) 0.027 (0.052) 87 20 94 20 94 78 20 94 78 2.5 14 32

ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNi, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; BB, beta blocker; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; fib, fibrillation; hsTnl, high-sensitivity troponin l; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association; Q, quartile; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor.



Positive Primary Composite Endpoint Treatment effect increased in more advanced patients





AEs and treatment discontinuation balanced between treatment arms

1. Teerlink JR et al., Cardiac Myosin Activation with Omecamtiv Mecarbil in Systolic Heart Failure; N Eng J Med 2020, 384:105-116.

2. Teerlink JR., Diaz R., Felker GM., et al. Effect of Ejection Fraction on Clinical Outcomes in Patients treated with Omecamtiv Mecarbil in GALACTIC-HF. JACC. 2021



Primary Outcome in Severe HF: HR = 0.80 (0.71, 0.90)

(Severe HF defined as NYHA III-IV, EF \leq 30%, HF hosp < 6 mos)^{1,2}



Primary Outcome in Patients with LVEF \leq 28%: HR 0.84; 95% CI 0.77, 0.92

Subgroup	No. of Events/ No. of Patients		Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	Norm p-value	ARR
All Patients	3103/8232	⊢ ∎-4	0.92 (0.86, 0.99)	0.025	2.1%
LVEF ≤28%	1821/4456	⊢ ∎–1	0.84 (0.77, 0.92)	<0.001	4.9%
Outpatients	1255/3304	⊢ ∎i	0.83 (0.75, 0.93)	0.001	5.0%
Inpatients	566/1152	I	0.86 (0.73, 1.02)	0.084	3.9%
Hosp <3 mos	1200/2688	⊢ ∎→I	0.83 (0.74, 0.93)	0.001	5.2%
Class III/IV	1055/2132	⊢ ∎−-1	0.80 (0.71, 0.90)	<0.001	7.0%
NT-proBNP >2000	1249/2431	⊢ ∎—i	0.77 (0.69, 0.87)	<0.001	8.1%
SBP <110	843/1820	⊢	0.81 (0.70, 0.92)	0.002	7.4%
	0.5	0.8 1.0 1.2 OM ←→ Plac Better Bet	ebo ter		

1. Felker GM, Omecamtiv Mecarbil in Patients with Severe Heart Failure: An Analysis from GALACTIC-HF, ESC Heart Failure 2021, June 2021 2. Felker GM, et al. Assessment of Omecamtiv Mecarbil for the Treatment of Patients With Severe Heart Failure. JAMA Cardiology, October 2021.

14.4 14.4 1.00 (0.92, 1.09) 17.2 20.0 0.85 (0.73, 1.00) 0.02 13.5 12.8 1.07 (0.96, 1.18) •

Rate of primary outcome **higher in** • **hospitalized patients** in the placebo group than in outpatients

Effect of *omecamtiv mecarbil* versus placebo • on the primary outcome similar in hospitalized patients & outpatients

- *Omecamtiv mecarbil* similarly reduced the risk • of primary outcome both when initiated in hospitalized patients and in outpatients
- **Safe to initiate** treatment with *omecamtiv mecarbil* in hospitalized patients





Cvtokinetics

Similar Risk Reduction in Hospitalized Patients vs. Outpatients

Initiating omecamtiv mecarbil in hospitalized patients safe, well-tolerated

Greater Treatment Effect in Patients with Low Blood Pressure Patients with Low BP at high risk of CV death & HF events, often hard to treat



- 1,473 patients (17.9%) had low BP (≤100 mmHg)
- Greater treatment effect from *omecamtiv mecarbil* in patients with low BP on the primary composite endpoint with **absolute risk** reduction of 9.8 events per 100 patient-years
- Patients with low BP also had improvements in BP over time
- Measures of safety and tolerability were similar between patients with low BP and those without low BP

Laboratory and Safety Events



Variable	<i>Omecamtiv Mecarbil</i> (N=4110)	Placebo (N=4101)	Relative Risk or Difference (95% Cl)
Laboratory value change from baseline to Week 24			
Systolic blood pressure – mmHg, mean (SD)	1.4 (15.3)	1.5 (15.6)	-0.1 (-0.9, 0.6)
Heart rate, bpm, mean (SD)	-2.1 (12.6)	-0.5 (12.8)	-1.6 (-2.2, -1.0)
Cardiac Troponin I, ng/L, median (Q1, Q3)	0.004 (-0.002, 0.021)	0.000 (-0.009, 0.008)	0.004 (0.003, 0.005)
NT-proBNP, pg/mL, median (Q1, Q3)	-251 (-1180, 295)	-180 (-915, 441)	0.90 (0.86, 0.94)
Adverse events (AEs)			
Any serious AE, n (%)	2373 (57.7)	2435 (59.4)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)
Drug discontinuation due to AE, n (%)	371 (9.0)	382 (9.3)	0.97 (0.85, 1.11)
Adverse events of interest			
Ventricular tachyarrhythmias	290 (7.1)	304 (7.4)	0.95 (0.82, 1.11)
Torsade de pointes/QT prolongation	176 (4.3)	195 (4.8)	0.90 (0.74, 1.10)
SAE of ventricular arrhythmia requiring treatment	119 (2.9)	127 (3.1)	0.93 (0.73, 1.20)
Adjudicated major cardiac ischemic events, n (%)	200 (4.9)	188 (4.6)	1.06 (0.87, 1.29)
Myocardial infarction	122 (3.0)	118 (2.9)	
Hospitalized for unstable angina	25 (0.6)	12 (0.3)	
Coronary revascularization	115 (2.8)	117 (2.9)	
Adjudicated Strokes	76 (1.8)	112 (2.7)	0.68 (0.51, 0.91)

Large and Growing Heart Failure Patient Population



* HF Event: Urgent, unscheduled outpatient visit or hospitalization ** Due to renal impairment, low BP and/or hyperkalemia

1. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) as accessed 4/1/2019 at website. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/</u>. – data from 2013-2016 as quotes in Benjamin 2019 Circulation. 2019;139:e56–e528. DOI: 10.1161/

2. EF based on distribution as presented in Dunlay et al Circ Heart Fail. 2012;5:720-726,

3. 2.1% annual growth rate: 1.9% annual growth rate of patient population 65+ (UN World Populations Prospects Nov 2019) and a 0.2% mortality impact of HF treatment (doi: 10.1136/bmj.1223 | BMJ 2019;364:1223)

Cytokinetics

OVERVIEW CARDIAC CANDIDATES FRANCHISE STRATEGY SKELETAL CANDIDATES CORPORATE PROFILE

High Cost Burden Primarily Due to Hospitalizations

Omecamtiv mecarbil reduced clinical events, resource utilization & costs related to HF events

Over next decade, HF cost burden is expected to increase over half	Mostly due to cycle of hospitalizations and re-admissions	Omecamtiv mecarbil reduced costs related to HF events in patient subgroup*
US HF Burden (\$B) 69.7^2 43.6 ¹ $(+60\%)_{(CAGR 4.8\%)}$	Mean cost for each hospital stay of ~\$17K ³ HF-associated costs of initial hospitalization and 12 months following discharge ~\$35K ⁴ Of total lifetime HF cost burden, ~80% due to hospital stays ⁵ Outpatient HF-related drug costs only ~2- 3% of the total HE-related costs ⁴	 Treatment with <i>omecamtiv mecarbil</i> associated with significant reductions in risk of first HF event, total HF events and cumulative HF events Estimated cost reductions related to HF events were \$3,085, a 19% reduction per patient Of the cost reductions, 99% due to HF hospitalizations avoided
2020 2030		* Subgroup of 5,369 patients (65%) of the 8,256 patients enrolled in GALACTIC-HF excluding those with digoxin & atrial fibrillation or with EF >30%

Urbich, M., Globe, G., Pantiri, K. et al. A Systematic Review of Medical Costs Associated with Heart Failure in the USA (2014–2020). PharmacoEconomics 38, 1219–1236 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-020-00952-0</u>
 Heidenreich PA, Albert NM, Allen LA, Bluemke DA, Butler J, Fonarow GC, et al. Forecasting the impact of heart failure in the United States: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circ Heart Fail. 2013;6(3):606-19. https://doi.org/10.1161/HHF.0b013e318291329a.
 Gaziano et al, AMA Cardiol. 2016;1(6):666-672. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2016.1747

4. Givertz, M. M., Yang, M., Hess, G. P., Zhao, B., Rai, A., and Butler, J. (2021) Resource utilization and costs among patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction following a worsening heart failure event. ESC Heart Failure, 8: 1915 – 1923. https://doi.org/10.1002/ehf2.13155

5. Dunlay SM, Shah ND, Shi Q, Morlan B, VanHouten H, Long KH, Roger VL. Lifetime costs of medical care after heart failure diagnosis. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2011 Jan 1;4(1):68-75. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.110.957225. Epub 2010 Dec 7



Omecamtiv Mecarbil: Value Proposition

In HFrEF, patients with lower ejection fractions are hospitalized more often

In HFrEF, every 10 points lower EF, is proven to drive higher events and risk of increased hospitalizations¹

Hospitalization reductions seen in clinical trial of *omecamtiv mecarbil*

Clinically meaningful and statistically significant hospitalization reductions seen among worsening HF patients with EF≤30²

Our access activities may demonstrate economic value of *omecamtiv mecarbil*

Partnering with key institutions to generate real world evidence of unmet needs in patients with lower ejection fractions

Using **HEOR** and clinical results to demonstrate the economic impact and value

Building Market Access team holding early discussions with payers

1. Based on Solomon S, Influence of Ejection Fraction on Cardiovascular Outcomes in a Broad Spectrum of Heart Failure Patients, Circulation 2005

2. Felker GM. ESC Heart Fail 2021 Oral Presentation. Data based on post hoc analyses.

Small Subset of Cardiologists Manage Majority of Patients

HFrEF Patient Concentration in Cardiologists

Distribution of High-Volume Cardiologists



Allows for more targeted field team approach, focusing on <10,000 HCPs

Symphony APLD (1/1/2019 – 12/31/2020); Physician Interviews; Analysis includes n = 25,510 cardiologists and n = 110,114 PCPs who see at least 1 HFrEF patient during the two-year market map period



Not for Promotional Use, For Investors Only OVERVIEW CARDIAC CANDIDATES FRANCHISE STRATEGY SKELETAL CANDIDATES CORPORATE PROFILE

Engagement Approach Allows Customizing and Broadening



Customizing engagement by different types of customers

Digital allows broader reach

Medicare Is Major Payer with Select Key Players

Medicare is largest payer; enrollment highly concentrated with nearly 3 of 4 patients in only 5 plans



Sources: National Trends in Heart Failure Hospitalizations and Readmissions From 2010 to 2017; Agarwal, Fanarow, and Ziaeian; JAMA Cardiol, Feb 10, 2021 (Table 2 Payer Status); https://www.kff.org/medicare/issue-brief/10-things-to-know-about-medicare-part-d-coverage-and-costs-in-2019; IQVIA LAAD data. SGLT-2 US Market Access Assessment, IQVIA. 1/7/2020



Gated Build of Commercial Infrastructure Majority of spending to occur post-approval

2/3 of hiring to occur post-approval



Activities initiated upon key de-risking events



Underway Pre-NDA filing Market access Pricing strategy Distribution approach Payer engagement Brand strategy Sales force planning

Initiated upon NDA acceptance

Launch campaign Commercial training PIE deployment (payers) Technology build **Omnichannel** execution

Initiated upon FDA approval Media purchases Patient support programs

Commercial Supply Chain Operating Model



Aficamten



Aficamten is an investigational agent and has not been approved for use by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) or any regulatory agency. The safety and effectiveness of this product has not been established.

In US, Large HCM Population With Many Undiagnosed



nHCM: non-obstructive HCM; oHCM: obstructive HCM CVRG market strategies heart failure 2Q 2021 and other sources on file



Not for Promotional Use, For Investors Only OVERVIEW CARDIAC CANDIDATES FRANCHISE STRATEGY SKELETAL CANDIDATES CORPORATE PROFILE

Aficamten: Aspirational Target Profile Potential next-in-class cardiac myosin inhibitor

Efficacy	Functional Improvement: Improved exercise capacity Symptom Improvement: One or two class improvement in NYHA class Quality of Life: KCCQ improvement
Safety and Tolerability	Minimal drug-drug interactions Maintain LVEF: >50% on vast majority of patients Reversibility: Quickly reversible with titration down
Dosing	Titration: Time to optimal dose, ~2-week titration intervals using echocardiography No monitoring of plasma concentrations

Product not FDA approved, aspirational profile dependent on phase 3 data

Aficamten is an investigational agent and has not been approved for use by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) or any regulatory agency. The safety and effectiveness of this product has not been established.



REDWOOD-HCM: Cohorts 1 & 2



Patients with symptomatic oHCM on background therapy excluding disopyramide

Two sequential dose-finding cohorts





Patient Enrollment and Dosing Cohorts 1 & 2



41 Total Enrolled Patients

		Final Dose Achieved (N)				
		5 mg	10 mg	15 mg	20 mg	30 mg
N = 14	Cohort 1	4	5	5		
N = 14	Cohort 2		9		4	1



Baseline Characteristics Cohorts 1 & 2



Characteristic	Placebo (n = 13)	<i>Aficamten</i> (n = 28)
Age (Years), Mean (SD) [Range]	57.2 (9.6) [36,69]	56.6 (13.6) [33,78]
< 65 Years	10 (77%)	17 (61%)
Sex , n (%)		
Female	8 (62%)	15 (54%)
Race = White , n (%)	12 (92%)	28 (100%)
NYHA Class, n (%)		
Class II	11 (85%)	17 (61%)
Class III	2 (15%)	11 (39%)
Maximal LV Wall Thickness (mm) Mean (SD)	16 (3)	17 (3)
LVEF* at Screening (%), Mean (SD)	73.6 (5.9)	71.7 (8.0)
LVOT-G*, Rest at Screening (mmHg), Mean (SD)	70.0 (28.0)	61.1 (29.8)
LVOT-G*, Valsalva at Screening (mmHg), Mean (SD)	93.3 (27.2)	89.3 (31.5)

* Site-read echocardiogram

Maron M, Abraham T, Masri A, et al. "REDWOOD-HCM: A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Dose-finding Trial of the Cardiac Myosin Inhibitor, Aficamten, In Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy"



Response Rates on Treatment with *Aficamten*





- Consistent, clinically meaningful reductions in LVOT gradients within two weeks
- No treatment interruptions or discontinuations
- No treatment-related SAEs
- **Reversibility of drug effect** demonstrated
- Statistically significant reductions in NT-proBNP
- Improvement in NYHA class

Maron M, Abraham T, Masri A, et al. "REDWOOD-HCM: A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Dose-finding Trial of the Cardiac Myosin Inhibitor, *Aficamten*, In Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy" *Aficamten* is an investigational agent and has not been approved for use by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) or any regulatory agency. The safety and effectiveness of this product has not been established.

REDWOOD-HCM: Efficacy Cohorts 1 & 2



Reductions in LVOT gradients



Dose finding study Cohort 1 (n=21), Cohort 2 (n=20)

Maron M, Abraham T, Masri A, et al. "REDWOOD-HCM: A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Dose-finding Trial of the Cardiac Myosin Inhibitor, Aficamten, In Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy"

Cytokinetics

Change from Baseline in NT-proBNP & NYHA Class Cohorts 1 & 2





Change from Baseline NT-proBNP to Week 10

100 31% 43% 80 64% 60 % Total 40 69% 57% (n=9) (n=8) 20 36% (n=5) 0 Placebo Aficamten: Aficamten: Cohort 1 Cohort 2 Cohort 1 vs Placebo: p > 0.1 No Improvement in NYHA Class Cohort 2 vs Placebo: p = 0.08 ≥1 NYHA Class Improvement

Improvement in Heart Failure Symptoms (NYHA Class) Week 10 Responder Definition: Improvement in NYHA Class ≥1

Maron M, Abraham T, Masri A, et al. "REDWOOD-HCM: A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Dose-finding Trial of the Cardiac Myosin Inhibitor, Aficamten, In Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy"



REDWOOD-HCM: Cohort 3



Patients with symptomatic oHCM on background therapy of *disopyramide*

Cohort 3

Same doses used in Cohort 3 as in Cohort 1



*Telephone visits **Patient can only be down-titrated at Week 6



10 mg

15 mg

5 mg

REDWOOD-HCM: Efficacy in Patients on *Disopyramide*



Reductions in LVOT gradients; no patients whose LVEF dropped below safety threshold



Values are mean ± SD. Core-lab read echocardiograms. SRT, septal reduction therapy

REDWOOD-HCM: Cohort 4



Patients with symptomatic nHCM on background therapy

Opened to enrollment in Q1 2022



Cytokinetics

SEQUOIA-HCM: Phase 3 Trial



Plan to enroll at 115 sites in US, Europe and Asia**

Primary endpoint: Change in pVO₂ by CPET from baseline to Week 24

Secondary objectives include measuring change in KCCQ & improvement in NYHA class at week 12 and 24

Enrolling 270 patients treated with standard of care with:

- resting LVOT-G ≥30 mmHg,
- post-Valsalva LVOT-G ≥50 mmHg,
- NYHA Class II or III,
- exercise performance <80% predicted

Individualized dose up-titration based on echocardiography: LVEF ≥55%, post-Valsalva LVOT-G ≥30 mmHg

* Focused echocardiogram

** Plan to enroll in US, Italy, France, Germany, Czech Republic, Denmark, Hungary, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Spain, UK, Israel & China SOC: standard of care



REDWOOD-HCM: Open Label Extension







Data presented as mean ±95% Confidence Interval

REDWOOD-HCM OLE is enrolling patients who complete REDWOOD-HCM and SEQUOIA-HCM

REDW

Aficamten: Clinical Development Plan for HCM

SEQUOIA-HCM enrolling patients with oHCM; REDWOOD-HCM Cohort 4 enrolling patients with nHCM





Novel Approach May Address Multiple Unmet Patient Needs



Cytokinetics

Sarcomere Directed Drug Commercialization

FRANCHISE STRATEGY



Launch Guiding Principles Strengthen Franchise Build

Patient and customer centric	Creating broad value for cardiac patients and build long-term, deep relationships with cardiologists with multiple CV medicines
Cost-efficient	Leverage Go-to-Market synergies between multiple CV medicines, enabling efficiencies in both franchise functions and support functions
Scalable	Build and develop core functional capabilities while strategically outsourcing capabilities and processes that are non-core

Design commercial organization to optimize U.S. launch of *omecamtiv mecarbil*, enable geographic expansion & partnerships, and launch of *aficamten*



Limited Incremental Cost For Future U.S. CV Launches

Building Today ...

To optimize value capture for potential launch of *omecamtiv mecarbil*

• Building deep, long-term relationships

... To Lead Tomorrow

To support future launches and establish Cytokinetics as a CV leader

• Significant overlap between HFrEF and HCM





Go-to-Market Synergies for Omecamtiv Mecarbil & Aficamten

Sales Team	Given target overlap, leveraging same sales team	
Commercial Support Functions	Utilize resources across brands (e.g., access, analytics,)	Synergy PV
Medical Affairs	MSLs qualified to cover both HFrEF and HCM	of ~ \$500M
Corporate Support Functions	Avoid costs of duplication (IT, Finance, HR,)	



Sarcomere Directed Drug Development

SKELETAL MUSCLE

Reldesemtiv



Reldesemtiv



Reldesemtiv is an investigational agent and has not been approved for use by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) or any regulatory agency. The safety and effectiveness of this product has not been established.

Phase 2 Clinical Trial in ALS



Results presented at American Academy of Neurology 2019 Annual Meeting



Primary Endpoint: SVC Change from baseline in percent predicted SVC at week 12





*Based on Mixed Model for Repeated Measures (MMRM) with the contrasts of (-5, -1, 3, 3) for placebo, reldesentiv 150 mg, 300 mg and 450 mg BID, respectively



Phase 2 Clinical Trial

SVC Change From Baseline

(All Active vs Placebo)

FORTITUDE

Primary analysis not statistically significant; patients on all doses of *reldesemtiv* declined less than patients on placebo*

0.0 *P=0.10 LS Mean (SE) Difference LS Mean (±SE) of Change in Percent Predicted SVC From Baseline 2.2. 2.2. in Change of % Predicted SVC 1.7 (1.1) Relative reduction of **27%** in decline of percent predicted SVC compared with placebo -10.0 Off Drug Week 16/ Baseline Week2 Week4 Week 8 Week 12 Follow-up Visit Study Treatment: — Placebo — All Active

ALSFRS-R Change From Baseline (All Active vs Placebo)



*post hoc analysis

FORTITUDE-ALS did not achieve statistical significance, but patients on all dose groups of reldesemtiv declined less than patients on placebo



Subgroup Analyses*



Percent Predicted SVC

	No. of Patients (pbo/ <i>reldesemtiv</i>)	LSM Difference (95% Cl)	Estimate	<i>P</i> value
Percent predicted SVC at baseline				
<80	38/102	⊢	1.037	0.5935
≥80	52/187	iI	2.135	0.0834
ALSFRS-R total score at baseline				
<median (38.0)<="" td=""><td>43/118</td><td>I<u>-</u>∎I</td><td>2.886</td><td>0.1.41</td></median>	43/118	I <u>-</u> ∎I	2.886	0.1.41
≥Median (38.0)	47/171	⊢	0.451	0.7146
ALSAQ-5 total score at baseline				
<150	49/159	⊢ ∎−1	0.568	0.6689
≥150	41/130	<u>}</u> −−−−1	3.489	0.0287
Anatomic site of disease onset				
Limb	73/234	<u>}-∎-</u>	2.309	0.0448
Bulbar	17/55	⊢	-0.027	0.9923
Time since ALS symptom onset				
<2 Years	50/188		0.530	0.7211
≥2 Years	40/101	<u> </u> ∎	3.640	0.0094
Time since ALS diagnosis				
<1 Year	65/210	H=-1	0.819	0.5263
≥1 Year	25/79	 ■ 	4.237	0.0172
<6 Months	39/130		1.230	0.4538
≥6 Months	51/159	1	2.285	0.1024
Pre-study rate of disease progression				
(ALSFRS-R total score reduction per month)				
1 st tertile $\leq (0.3667)$	29/10/		0.663	0.6361
2^{10} tertile > (0.3667) - (0.6673)	35/94		2.960	0.0976
5° ter tile (0.6673)	20/88		1.620	0.4597
	15 1) 15	
	-15 -11 Eave	0 -5 0 -5 10	J I D	
	Place	\leftrightarrow	tment	

ALSFRS-R Total Score

	No. of Patients (pbo <i>l reldesemtiv</i>)	LSM Difference (95% Cl)	Estimate	<i>P</i> value
Percent predicted SVC at baseline				
<80	43/109	├ ── ■ ──┤	1.588	0.0089
≥80	57/196	H i m-1	0.264	0.5296
ALSFRS-R total score at baseline				
<median (38.0)<="" td=""><td>48/129</td><td>⊢∎1</td><td>1.107</td><td>0.0585</td></median>	48/129	⊢ ∎1	1.107	0.0585
≥Median (38.0)	52/176	E	0.685	0.0987
ALSAQ-5 total score at baseline				
<150	52/164		0.266	0.5025
≥150	48/141	;	1.598	0.0055
Anatomic site of disease onset	00/045		0.070	0.0070
LIMD	80/245		0.872	0.0279
Time since ALS symptom enset	20/00	1: - 1	0.801	0.2194
	EC/100		1 422	0.0025
>2 Years	44/106		0.475	0.0023
Time since ALS diagnosis	11/100		0.175	0.5155
<1 Year	71/225	: 	1.123	0.0101
≥1 Year	29/80		0.359	0.5350
<6 Months	42/137	 ≡	1.359	0.0154
≥6 Months	58/168	l : ∎I	0.566	0.1820
Pre-study rate of disease progression				
(ALSFRS-R total score reduction per month)			
1^{st} tertile \leq (0.3667)	32/110		0.389	0.4298
2^{IIII} tertile > (0.366/) - (0.66/3)	38/99		0.987	0.0665
5 ter tile (0.0073)	30/96		1./33	0.0177
	-5	25 0 25	5	
	Favo	rs Fa	vors	
	Place	bo \longleftrightarrow Trea	itment	

*FORTITUDE-ALS did not achieve statistical significance, but patients on all dose groups of *reldesemtiv* declined less than patients on placebo

Post-Hoc Analyses Inform Potential Path Forward FORTITUDE

Change From Baseline in ALSFRS-R by Progressor Tertiles



Probability of No New DME Over Time With Treatment With *Reldesemtiv*

DME (Durable Medical Equipment): Manual wheelchair, power wheelchair, NIV, Augmentative Language Device, PEG



SP: slow progressor; MP: middle progressor; FP: fast progressor

Cytokinetics

Phase 3 Clinical Trial Design



>70 centers activated, >150 patients enrolled; interim analysis for futility in 2H 2022





Not for Promotional Use, For Investors Only

Sarcomere Directed Therapies

CORPORATE PROFILE



Robust Pipeline, Solid Financial Position



Timelines and milestones reflect Cytokinetics' current expectations and beliefs



Monetizing Our Pipeline to Bolster Balance Sheet

Symmetry of deals for *omecamtiv mecarbil* and *aficamten* affords synergies for development and potential launches and supports franchise strategies



* 4.5% on worldwide net sales of *omecamtiv mecarbil* (and potentially other compounds with same mechanism of action), subject to potential increase of up to an additional 1% under certain circumstances ** 4.5% for annual worldwide net sales of *aficamten* up to \$1 billion and 3.5% for annual worldwide net sales of *aficamten* in excess of \$1 billion, subject to reduction in certain circumstances



Balance Sheet & Financial Guidance

2022 Condensed Balance Sheet

As of 3/31/2022	in millions
	Total
Cash and investments	\$686.1
Accounts receivable	\$6.1
PPE	\$75.7
Leased assets	\$72.6
Other assets	\$15.8
Total Assets	\$856.3
Debt	\$134.0
Liability related to sale of future royalties	\$275.2
Deferred Revenue	\$87.0
Lease liability	\$126.5
Other liabilities	\$117.3
Total Liabilities	\$740.0
Working capital	\$556.7
Accumulated deficit	(\$1,286.5)
Stockholders' equity	\$116.3
Wtd Avg Basic Shares Outstanding	85.0

2022 Financial Guidance

Net	~ \$365 - 385
Cash Operating Expenses	\$380 - 400
Cash Revenue	\$20 - 25
	Total
	IN MIIIONS



Expected Milestones in 2022

Participate in Advisory Committee meeting for <i>omecamtiv mecarbil</i> in Q3/Q4 2022		Launch omecamtiv mecarbil in the U.S. pending FDA approval in Q4 2022	
Continue enrollment in SEQUOIA-HCM through 2022	Begin second Phase 3 trial of <i>aficamten</i> in oHCM in 2H 2022	Present additional long-term data from REDWOOD-HCM OLE in 2022	Continue enrollment in Cohort 4 of REDWOOD-HCM in 2022

Expect **first interim analysis from COURAGE-ALS** in 2H 2022



 Not for Promotional Use, For Investors Only

 OVERVIEW
 CARDIAC CANDIDATES

 FRANCHISE STRATEGY
 SKELETAL CANDIDATES

 CORPORATE PROFILE

Cytokinetics

Sarcomere Directed Therapies

THANK YOU



Nefertari, diagnosed with heart failure

Jillian, diagnosed with HCM

Chuck, diagnosed with ALS